

Full version of the Arabian Gulf video

<http://youtu.be/qFVhTfOEkaU>

Dear friends:

By viewing this video you have witness a US EPA NCP listed product OIL SPILL EATER II, safely clean up oil/hydrocarbon contamination from the Saudi Gulf on its beaches, where it is clear that the US NCP product Oil Spill Eater II works! This is what the EPA and their RRT did not want known or allow to be used since the Valdez incident and have blocked throughout the BPH event.

Please know that US EPA has known this for 23 years ever since the Valdez incident. Please also know that US EPA has blocked its use and has prevented US industry from direct access to this complete oil spill mitigation product depriving our Oil and Gas Industry members, Petro Chemical Industry members, and Pharmaceutical Industry members from being able to realize the direct savings associated with its use. EPA instead allowed these industries to use out dated and antiquated means of oil spill clean-up measures with "ACCEPTABLE TRADE OFFS ASSOCIATED WITH THEIR USE" as noted being associated with the use of the toxic dispersants ( Corexit 9527 and 9500 despite their known flaws) where as they containing carcinogens and in reality only sink the oil and causes increased adverse impact rather to the environment. EPA and fellow RRT leadership knew the truth about the Corexit products and forced their use as they were the only NCP product with pre-approved emergency use status which were fully incorporated in all mitigation plans.

than clean up our ecology while at the same time offer deals to oil companies as they thought they were saving money from the excessive penalties of the obtrusive government agency.

As most of you are aware, I have been pushing this solution since discovering it on the US NCP list. At the time, I had no previous knowledge affiliation or history with this subject matter. Like other area our firm has engaged in since the Katrina event. We have evolved and has made a reputation for taking an issue of great magnitude and have researched the issues with complete objectivity via honest interaction with PH'Ds noted in the various disciplines germane to the subject matter and timely have formulated advance pre event accurate findings and have relayed them to officials with the hope that strategic decision making occurs utilizing our accurate data complete with mitigation recommendations originating from the same specialist whom we interacted. Please note that our firm has been completing accurate with respect to our advanced observations and recommendations. The accuracy of our work and our ability to timely deliver real solutions in advance of the actual occurrence is our objectivity coupled with our ability to evaluate data; visualize the multiple dimensions of the problems and confer with noted experts and formulate a real understanding and thus a solution.

Our critics say we have no history with the issue and thus discount our work until after the event and they realize had they engaged and adhered to our recommendations they would have benefited from great savings as the magnitude and scope of the consequential damages could

have been spared. In some instances, we realize that some entities like government does not want to solve the problem until after the event has occurred. Politics is a whole different issue. Thus geopolitical strategic economics is important relative to solving problems. We can offer insight and make recommendations, but getting political powers to make critical decision is out of our hands.

This video and the BPH Gulf of Mexico problem now 25 months after we delivered the only proved mitigation solution as noted in the video. Today, decontamination of our GOM while it is still possible critically is the most important issue at hand. If no decontamination effort using OSE II is attempted and achieved as MIT engineers have offered USCG and BP in 2010. Our research coupled with known observations in the GOM region that there will be a critical point where human toxicity threshold levels will be reached. At this point adverse effects on human health will be evident the same as with the Valdez cleanup workers and the BPH cleanup workers as well as others directly in contact with the contamination. At this point relative to prolonged human exposure to these toxins from inhalation, digestion and direct exposure to the chemicals in the waters resulting from the oil/dispersant compound denoted as hydrocarbon contamination.

before our public reaches a contamination saturation point. At that point, the economy will no longer be a issue as human health related issues and the unnecessary death of our public stemming from the hydrocarbon contamination originating from the GOM waters will transcend the politic and the economy.

25 months ago, [Strategic Consulting Group/ La Economic Foundation Inc.](#) researched and delivered [Oil SPILL EATER II](#), a US NCP bioremediation product to our Louisiana governor on 5/3/2010. He approved its testing in St Bernard with parish president Craig Taffarro on 5/6/10 which was prior to La coastal zone being contaminated.

Since that time to present our US EPA has blocked its use in US waters for Mitigating hydrocarbon (oil spills) contamination without [any](#) reason! This despite millions of dollars in testing and thousands of actual oil spill clean ups and long term use by our own military [which Oil spill eater II has accumulated a 23 year track record of successful oil spill clean ups worldwide. Recently, as noted in the video, the Saudi Government issued an edict and Oil Spill Eater II was requested and tested resulting in its use in Saudi to clean up the Saudi Gulf waters and lands.](#)

Hydrocarbons are hydrocarbons, it is amazing to find out that once 3<sup>rd</sup> world countries are more interested in cleaning up their ecology than our American Government. The same government that issued the law of the land the clean water act in 1990. Why does our EPA, NOAA and MMS( Dept. of interior) and the USCG not follow their own code of federal regulations and use the most effective complete oil spill mitigation solution to keep our ecology safe, which in turns protects human life from contamination. With respect to foreign countries, I guess they are not strapped with a failed government agencies like those in our RRT command structure which ignore the safest, most effective not to mention cost effective oil spill clean-up product available for use that is certified to be used by their very own guidelines. FOSC after

FOSC was requested to use this product during the BPH, each time arbitrary reasons were presented to block its use which has been documented to be arbitrary and unfounded.

During the BPH disaster EPA blocked it's use over and over despite direct request from all including Bp and our own state on multiple direct request. Why? It is NOT safe and it NOT works as each of you have witnessed by watching the recent video. If this was the Corexit 9527 and Corexit 9500 products, the demonstration worker would die as a result of coming into contact with it because of the carcinogens and adverse chemicals in its formula. NOAA and other government officials justify the use to the Corexit dispersant (exposed by WOHI 1/27/11 to be just toxic sinking agents) as acceptable tradeoffs associated with the use these chemicals.

Reality regarding these trade-offs were openly discussed by Senior EPA official Hugh Kaufman who blew the whistle on 7/28/2010.

See his comments as I have listed a three from the internet Please view: (note: my discovery of this was on 7/29/2010 while sitting with La Atty. General Caldwell and others in a meeting which I requested to discussed the need for a low cost mitigation approach rather than give him a 200 billion dollar consequential loss report, as I realized BP and others could never pay damages caused by their accident).

- [videocafe.crooksandliars.com/heather/epa-whistleblower-hugh-kaufman..](http://videocafe.crooksandliars.com/heather/epa-whistleblower-hugh-kaufman..)
- [www.youtube.com/watch?v=JAb1-Heid9U](http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=JAb1-Heid9U)

(Note that his comments were officially dismissed at the time.)

What is the endpoint for our GOM region? Long term contamination to the region causing ultimate consequential impacts to human health our office realized in June 2010 and confirmed by the end of July 2010 providing the GOM waters and contaminates are not allowed to be clean up by detoxifying the hydrocarbon contamination consisting of the oil and dispersant mixture. Subsequently, my efforts have been constant and ongoing in the most responsible manner by steadfast effort to affect a positive change. At this point, none of this matters because the contamination over the last two years has already occurred despite efforts of reason to inform leadership of the flaws and problems associated with its use. These efforts has been directly engaged at the highest levels where the decisions have occurred to block the use of the safer known solutions. Personally, I have invested 7000 hours researching the magnitude and scope of the consequential damages, spent countless hours informing and pleading with leadership to understand the issues and take corrective action with no avail due to direct political blockage at the highest levels. As noted by my trip to Washington in march 2011, representing a group of independent scientist collaborative efforts, I delivered a congressional data package to congressional oversight with the hopes of effecting a positive change in the response which to this date has not been allowed to changed. Moreover, the fact that the BPH site continues to re oil the gulf daily as large volumes of oil estimated to be a minimum of 2 million to possibly 3 million gallons discharging daily. This reality was included and later confirmed as now it its known that so called natural fissures are present in the gulf causing the re oiling of the GOM waters. It is known that prior to drilling activities the region was approved for drilling by the DOI as permits were apparently issued. The issuance of these permits would not have occurred if the sea floor was not sound for drilling. Thus claims that the cause of the ongoing discharges is now natural seeps. Well as I have told Coast Guard and others in area committee meetings

this cannot be the case since prior to the BPH event we did not have the magnitude of the problem since sub-sea explosion on 4/22/2010.

Now that the GOM waters are impact humans with Corexit and hydrocarbon contamination on the skin of the public as denoted by black light by scientist from the University of North Florida. [See article and pictures:](#)

In a report just published by the Tampa Bay Times on the work of [Geologist James Kirby](#) whose research is being overseen by the University of South Florida, harmful quantities of Corexit dispersant and oil are still present and a threat to beachgoers in the Gulf of Mexico.

Tiny globs of it, mingled with the chemical dispersant that was supposed to break it up, have settled into the shallows, mingling with the shells, he said. When Kirby shines his light across the legs of a grad student who'd been in the water and showered, it shows orange blotches where the globs still stick to his skin. Without the UV light, the skin just looks normal.



[Photos courtesy of James "Rip" Kirby]

"If I had grandkids playing in the surf, I wouldn't want them to come in contact with that," said Kirby, "The dispersant accelerates the absorption by the skin."

[Photos courtesy of James "Rip" Kirby]

"If I had grandkids playing in the surf, I wouldn't want them to come in contact with that," said Kirby, "The dispersant accelerates the absorption by the skin."

A copy of the full article can be found by accessing this link which was dated 4/29/2012 <http://oilandgasleaks.wordpress.com/2012/04/29/lessons-not-learned-ineffective-oil-spill-response/>

Please view the following article:

[www.sott.net/articles/show/244286-Gulf-Seafood-Deformities-Alarm...](http://www.sott.net/articles/show/244286-Gulf-Seafood-Deformities-Alarm...)

This article depicts the mutagenic properties associated dispersant being used to sink the oil.

My work with CDC NIOSH in early June 2010 confirmed the carcinogenic properties of the oil/dispersant compound does bio-accumulate when mixed. Today, two years almost to the

day writing this email it has been identified by LSU Scientist that mutagenic properties are also associated with the use of these toxic dispersants being allowed to administered to our GOM waters which in turn enters our domestic food supply as our gulf seafood being ingested by public is in my opinion contaminated as I have denoted last year to both state and federal officials in direct correspondence which has been shared with government leaders.

All of the above information is just background data for what is reality. The why and how come and whom is to blame is not of concern to myself or others whom I am associated with. The only real issue is to how do we fix the problem and what can be done to reverse the present trends and what can be done for the rogue wells discharge.

#### Two Questions:

Why our EPA and our federal government has allowed the use of this toxic sinking agent to be used in our gulf region and why has our EPA and Federal Governmental agencies comprising the RRT conversely has blocked this proven technology from being able to be used in our US navigable waters with their co-chair seat on the RRT. By blocking it's use in our domestic waters they have successfully blocked its commercial use by business and industry.

US EPA has blocked this product from being accessed in the united states because its use represents a direct threat to our government(EPA) and its strangle hold on US business and industry. Specifically, impacting our Oil and Gas, Petro chemical and pharmaceutical industries. These industries accidentally have unintended discharges which incurs penalties and face additional penalties and fines mitigating ecological damages.

Oil spill eater II's ability to treat and detoxify hydrocarbon contamination which fosters accelerated microbial degradation allows the spilled hydrocarbon to be completely converted to a defined endpoint of co2 and water resulting in no residual. This process is a direct threat against EPA's control over our most lucrative US industries placing undue economic distress which in turn makes their product more expensive which impact sales and and has a negative impact is our US Economy.

Now imagine our US industry having a means to completely be able to totally and timely clean up spilled hydrocarbons without penalty or reduced penalties from US EPA.

In the eyes of EPA,access to this product represents a direct threat to the exploitive power and influence that US EPA presently holds over our US industry.

Reality and open public knowledge of this proven US EPA NCP first response bioremediation product which can be applied to open waters, beaches, inter tidal zones marshes, and on land which affords a proven safe non toxic clean up of spilled hydrocarbons.

South Louisiana had experienced 25 months of unnecessary hydrocarbon contamination which still is ongoing with no safe endpoint in sight.

This same Osei II product that engineers from MIT ask to use in conjunction with their engineering offered to BP and USCG which was ignored could have cleaned our marshes with damaging them, could have mitigated and cleaned up the mysterious sub surface plume resulting from the use of sub sea dispersant applications in deep waters of the gulf and lastly an inverted cone type application to isolate and treat the ongoing deep water sea plume from the crater at the site of the deep water blowout. Imagine our gulf not being impacted. Note there is disagreement if it is impacted or not. This depends on whom you ask. The government says no. The independent scientist says yes as the physical evidence supports the fact that the GOM is impacted.

Ask fishermen, shrimp have no eyes fish have lesions as the water contains oil and dispersants. This is depicted by people being checked under black lights depicting orange

South Louisiana a place where our ecology and industry must co exist safely since we are committed to servicing and facilitating the nations energy needs.

Since May 6,2010, US EPA has inserted doubt in the minds of local leaders that Osei II did not work. Also they instituted and implemented a failed US government led response on the BPH event using the highly toxic Corexit dispersants.

Of which has been fully exposed to be ineffective in actually cleaning up the oil. Instead they have been found to only sink the oil and be carcinogenic and mutagenic. For most reading this will find this unbelievable as the last two years of federal under reporting and propaganda has afforded a false sense that all is well in our gulf zone.

The last two years I have shared our research openly with government and industry alike resulting in greater efforts by EPA to block the use of this oil spill mitigation product from being allowed to be used.

The video demonstration from the Saudi coast should have afforded proof that this NCP solution can help our US industries contain cost and realize great savings with its use if allowed and actually better facilitate cleaning up our ecology.

The more profitable our US industries are, the more viable they are, the greater our US employment and thus our US economy is.

State leaders, take note, you have had a means to effectively clean up our greatly impacted coastal zone since May 6,2010. Directly spare devastation to the region and preserve our key coastal industries but with EPA key officials along with NOAA officials have worked together to block you.

This video coupled with the test data that is found online for oil spill eater II it is clear our BPH oil/dispersant contamination in and along our coast can be cleaned up and safely mitigated despite what our rogue EPA leadership claims. They block testing in US waters, have discounted previous video demonstrations. Here you just witnessed a demonstration with Saudi crude on Saudi beeches being cleaned up with a safe non toxic bioremediation US EPA NCP solution that also is la DeQ approved.

Sent from my iPhone  
David Fakouri.  
David Fakouri and Associates

Members: SCG llc, BCCI, FCCI, LEF, FMC, DFG Llc, and Sunday BBQ Llc.

Cell 225 921 9735  
Phone 225 927 6515  
800 281 6441  
Fax 225 927 6523  
Email. [dakouri@dfaa.us](mailto:dakouri@dfaa.us)